

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address CoMMISSIGNER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20241
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,572	02/2	27/2002	Patricia Anne Nuttall	2488-1-003	8993
7	590	11/14/2002			
David A. Jackson			EXAMINER		
KLAUBER & JACKSON 4th Floor				SRIVASTAVA, KAILASH C	
411 Hackensack Street Hackensack, NJ 07601				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Hackensack, NJ 0700				1651	
				DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002	1.1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/085,572	NUTTALL ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		DR. Kailash C. Srivastava	1651					
1	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)[∑]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2002.							
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
1	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	8) Claim(s) 1-9 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Tr. PTO-326 (Rev		etion Summary	Part of Paper No. 8					

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicants' preliminary amendment filed February 27, 2002 (Paper Number 6) is acknowledged and entered.
- Claims 1-9 are pending.

Restriction/Election

- 3. A restriction to Claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 121 is required to one of the inventions as outlined below:
- Group I, consisting of claims 1-5 and 8-9 drawn to a method to treat conjunctivitis, classified under Class 514, subclass 2, for example.
- Group II, consisting of claim 6 drawn to a method to prepare a medicament, classified under Class 424, subclass 529, for example.
- Group III, consisting of claim 7 drawn to a composition, classified under Class 424, subclass 538, for example.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in Groups I and II are unrelated to each other because they are directed to different inventions that are not connected in design, operation and/or effect. These methods are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together. They have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the two methods at the same time to practice just one method alone (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case claims encompassing invention disclosed in Group I is a method to utilize the product made by the method of invention encompassing Claim 6 in Group II, whereas the invention of Group II is to manufacture a product. Thus, inventions in Groups I and II will not be simultaneously applicable.

Invention in Groups III and I are related to each other as product and use thereof.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:

(1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process

of using that product [MPEP § 806.05(h)]. IN the instant case, the method of invention encompassed in Group I can be accomplished with a number of commercially available medicaments that may or may not comprise a protein. Similarly, product of invention in Group III for e.g., may be used as an ingredient to treat cold.

Inventions in Groups III and II are related to each other as product and process to make the product. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the method of Invention II to prepare product of Groups III can also be applied to prepare any pharmaceutical product. The protein product claimed in Invention of Group III may also be prepared by different methods, for example microbial fermentation.

The inventions discussed above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches. The search for each one of the above inventions is not coextensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference that would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification (class and subclass), and their recognized diverse subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicants are reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

- 6. Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kailash C. Srivastava whose telephone number is (703) 605-1196. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. (Eastern Standard or Daylight Savings Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743 Monday through Thursday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Kailash C. Srivastava, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1651 (703) 605-1196

November 12, 2002

Jon P. Weber, Ph.D. Primary Examiner